

ÚNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,330	07/11/2001	Pradip Mitra	10919/25401	8427
29937 75	590 05/19/2004		EXAM	INER
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			SEDIGHIAN, REZA	
717 NORTH H SUITE 3400	ARWOOD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75201		2633	3
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	· ·	09/903,330	MITRA, PRADIP
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		M. R. Sedighian	2633
Period fo		ication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence address
A SH THE Exte after If the If NO Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howev unication. D) days, a reply within the statutory mininatutory period will apply and will expire SI will, by statute, cause the application to I	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>11 July 2001</u> .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final	
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 19	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 1-20 and 36-50 is/are allow Claim(s) 21-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrice	re withdrawn from considerated.	
Applicati	ion Papers	,	
10)⊠		is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) [ction to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the	
riority ۱	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim and all b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority o	documents have been received documents have been received the priority documents have all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	red. red in Application No re been received in this National Stage a)).
Attachmen	it(c)		
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 In	sterview Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pirmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Province) (PMAII) Date 2.	TO-948) P: PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:

Art Unit: 2633

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-29 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goossen et al. (US Patent No: 5,949,561) in view of Spears (US Patent No: 5,455,421) and in further view of Meli et al. (US Patent No: 6,414,769).

Regarding claim 21, Goossen teaches a method for receiving (200, fig. 4) a high bandwidth multiple wavelength optical data stream (col. 1, lines 10-25 and 200, fig. 4) of plurality of different wavelength channels (col. 5, lines 7-15, 22-28), comprising the steps of: utilizing a plurality of photodetectors (col. 5, lines 15-20 and 2, fig. 4) to receive the plurality of wavelength channels (col. 5, lines 40-45), each individual one of the wavelength channels being absorbed by one of the respective photodetectors (col. 5, lines 42, 45), each individual one of the photodetectors outputting at least a portion of a respective wavelength channel based on an absorbed respective one of the wavelength channels (col. 5, lines 16-21). Goossen differs from the claimed invention in that Goosen do not disclose each one of the photodetectors comprises of a diffractive resonant optical cavity. Spears teaches a photodetector for detecting optical radiation at a predetermined wavelength (col. 2, lines 47-50), wherein the photodetector is comprised of a diffractive resonant optical cavity (col. 2, lines 48-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate photodetectors with resonant optical cavity such as the one of Spears for the photodetectors of Goossen in order to provide a photodetctor structure that exhibits increased sensitivity for the

Art Unit: 2633

incident optical radiation. The modified optical receiving system of Goossen and Spears differs from the claimed invention in that Goossen and Spears do not teach amplifying each respective generated wavelength channels. However, it is well known to amplify the electrical signal to boost the signal strength. Meli teaches an optical receiver (208, fig. 2) and an amplifier (209, fig. 2) that amplifies the signal outputted by the receiver (col. 8, lines 57-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention to incorporate amplifiers such as the one of Meli in the modified opto-electrical receiving system of Goossen and Spears in order to boost and increase, or reshape the signal strength for further signal processing.

Regarding claim 22, Goossen teaches an odd integer multiple number of wavelength channels (col. 5, lines 22-28).

Regarding claim 23, Spears teaches the receiver utilize respective portions of respective wavelength channel to reduce noise (col. 1, lines 42-47, col. 2, lines 33-34).

Regarding claims 24-28, Spears teaches the diffractive resonant optical cavity comprises of semiconductor material of III-V, or AIGaAs/GaAs, or InGaAs/Inp, or multiple quantum well (col. 3, lines 2-4, col. 5, lines 21-27).

Regarding claim 29, Goossen teaches the plurality of wavelength channels comprise infrared radiation (col. 2, lines 16-25).

Regarding claim 33, Goossen teaches generating light having a plurality of wavelengths (col. 5, lines 23-25), and modulating light of each individual wavelength of the plurality of wavelengths to create the plurality of wavelength channels (col. 5, lines 8-10 and 402, 404, fig. 4).

Art Unit: 2633

3. Claims 30-31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goossen et al. (US Patent No: 5,949,561) in view of Spears (US Patent No: 5,455,421) and in view of Meli et al. (US Patent No: 6,414,769) and in further view of Fry (US Patent No: 4,322,693).

Regarding claims 30-31 and 34, the modified optical receiving system of Goossen, Spears, and Meli differs from the claimed invention in that Goossen, Spears, and Meli do not disclose carbon dioxide laser corresponding to P and R transitions. Fry teaches carbon dioxide laser corresponding to P and R transitions (col. 2, lines 10-20, 44-48, col. 7, lines 59-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention to incorporate a carbon dioxide laser such as the one of Fry for optical transmission sources in the modified optical receiving system of Goossen, Spears, and Meli in order to provide an efficient and reliable tunable laser that generates a plurality of wide output wavelengths.

4. Claims 32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goossen et al. (US Patent No: 5,949,561) in view of Spears (US Patent No: 5,455,421) and in view of Meli et al. (US Patent No: 6,414,769) and in further view of Ferrieu (US Patent No: 6,233,380).

Regarding claims 32 and 35, the modified optical receiving system of Goossen, Spears, and Meli differs from the claimed invention in that Goossen, Spears, and Meli do not disclose a quantum cascade laser. Ferrieu teaches a quantum cascade laser (col. 2, lines 43-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention to incorporate a quantum cascade laser such as the one of Ferrieu for optical transmission sources in the modified optical

Art Unit: 2633

receiving system of Goossen, Spears, and Meli in order to provide continuous-wave and high output power light signals.

- 5. Claims 1-20 and 36-50 are allowed over prior art of record.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (703) 308-9063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.R. SEDIGHIAN
Patent Examiner
Art Unit: 2633